IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JERRY LEE FERGUSON, et al.)
Plaintiff,)
v.	Case No. CIV 04-874-JHP-SAJ
MARTIN-BROWER COMPANY, L.L.C., and LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)))
Defendant.	,))

OPINION AND ORDER

From the parties' deposition designations, the Court has identified the following objections. If these witnesses are unavailable to testify at trial, and the depositions will be used instead, the parties must edit the testimony in accordance with these rulings.

In the **MAROUK** deposition, the objection on page 52 is OVERRULED. Strike lines 10-11.

In the **BOXELL** deposition, the objection on page 17 is SUSTAINED. Strike lines 1-8. The objection on pages 21-22 is SUSTAINED. Strike lines 20:25 through 22:15. The objection on pages 29-30 is OVERRULED. Strike line 30:3. The objection on pages 34-35 is SUSTAINED in part. Strike lines 34:20 though 35:9. The remaining testimony is admissible. The next objection on page 35 is SUSTAINED. Strike lines 10-14. The objection on pages 36-37 is SUSTAINED. Strike lines 36:14 through 37:1. The objections on pages 37-39 are OVERRULED. The objection on pages 41-43 is SUSTAINED in part. Strike lines 41:8 through 43:12, except for the first sentence (*i.e.*, "I believe so."), which sentence is admissible. The objection on pages 44-45 is SUSTAINED. Strike lines 44:18 through 45:14.

In the <u>ALEXANDER</u> deposition, the objection on page 12 is OVERRULED. Strike line 11. The objection on page 19 is OVERRULED pursuant to the Court's order on motions in limine (docket number 69) (hereinafter MIL). Parties are instructed to substitute the word "accident" instead of "injury" on line 10. The first objection on page 21 is also OVERRULED pursuant to the MIL. The second objection on that page is OVERRULED. Strike lines 15-16. The objection on page 23 is SUSTAINED. Strike lines 11-16. The objections on pages 26, 28, and 35-42 are OVERRULED in part, pursuant to the MIL. As these objections relate to the document marked as Exhibit 2, said objections are deferred until trial. If this witness is unavailable for trial, parties are instructed to edit this portion of the deposition in accordance with the MIL and resubmit the proposed testimony for the Court's review. The objection on page 63 is OVERRULED. Strike lines 9-10. The objection on page 85 is also OVERRULED. Strike lines 3. The first objection on page 89 is SUSTAINED. Strike lines

9-14. The second objection on that page is OVERRULED. Strike line 18, and insert the word "know" between "don't" and "whether" on line 19. The objection on page 90 is OVERRULED. Strike line 22. The objections on page 92 are OVERRULED. Strike lines 8 and 11-12. To the extent that the objections on pages 92-96 and pages 100-101 involve testimony which is the subject of the MIL, the parties are instructed to edit the testimony accordingly and resubmit as necessary. As these objections relate to the documents marked as Exhibits 8 and 11, said objections are deferred until trial. The objection on page 99 is SUSTAINED. Strike lines 3-22. The objection "to the form of the question" on pages 101-102 is MOOT, as the answer to said question is not designated by either party. The objection on page 106 is OVERRULED. Strike lines 11-12. The objection on pages 106-107 is also OVERRULED. Strike lines 106:24-25.

In the **FRAMJEE** deposition, the objections on pages 9-10 are OVERRULED. Strike lines 10:2-3 and 9-10. The objections on pages 32-33, 37-38, and 71-72 are OVERRULED. Where the motor carrier and its insurer are both proper parties, the general rule against references to liability insurance does not apply. *See* Blanke v. Alexander, 152 F.3d 1228, 1230 (10th Cir. 1998). Strike lines 33:4-8, 37:4-12 and 22-25, 38:5-10, 71:19-23, and 72:2-4. The objection on pages 37-38 is OVERRULED. Strike lines 37:4-12 and 22-25, and 38:5-10. The objection on page 45 is SUSTAINED as unduly prejudicial. Strike lines 13-24. The objection on pages 47-48 is OVERRULED. Strike lines 48:1-7. The objections on pages 49-51 are OVERRULED. The first objection on page 65 is also OVERRULED. Strike lines 8-10. The objection on pages 65-67 is SUSTAINED in part. Strike lines 65:19 through 66:17, 66:22-23, and 67:3-16. The remaining testimony is admissible. The objection on page 68 is OVERRULED. Strike lines 15-16. The objection on page 69 is SUSTAINED. Strike lines 1-20. The objection on page 75 is also SUSTAINED. Strike lines 4-11.

ORDERED this 26th day of May 2006.

United States District Judge

Northern District of Oklahoma